

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/821,784	03/28/2001	Michael Kriege	APL1P210/P2621	5504	
22434	7590 06/04/2003				
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P.O. BOX 778			EXAMINER		
			NGO, HUNG V		
BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2831	<u>.</u>	
•			DATE MAIL ED: 06/04/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/821,784** 

Applicant(s)

Hong et al

Examiner

Hung V. Ngo

Art Unit 2831

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period	for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.								
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> </ul>								
- If NO - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will e applica	xpire SIX (6) Nation to become	ONTHS from ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 18, 20	003			<u> </u>			
2a) 🗆	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This acti	on is	non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposi	tion of Claims							
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 3-9, 12-16, and 18-62				is/are pending in the application.			
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>36-56</u>				is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 3-9, 12-16, 18-35, and 57-62				is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims							
Applica	ation Papers							
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗌	accepted	or b)□	$\Box$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing	g(s) be held	l in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is:	a) 🗌 a <sub>l</sub>	pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:							
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e bee	n received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
***	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (P0	CT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	-			
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the		-					
14) - \	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		•					
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)								
_	nent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀	Interview Sum	mary (PTO	-413) Paper No(s).			
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_			Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🗌 in	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6)	Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/821784

Art Unit: 2831

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-9, 12-16, 18-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hart.

Hart discloses a casing (118) made of fiber material and thermoset resin (abstract), a frame ((122, 302, 304) made of aluminum (col 7, line 65), adhesive or glue (306)(Fig 6A).

Claims 57-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Terakawa et al.

Terakawa et al disclose a first member, a second member (Figs 2-7), means or adhesive (col 5, lines 65-68) disposed between first and second members.

#### Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung V. Ngo whose telephone number is (703) 308-7614. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 am to 06:00 pm.

Art Unit: 2831

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard, can be reached on (703) 308-3682.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9318 (Before Final) or (703) 872-9319 (After Final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Hung V. Ngo

June 1, 2003

HUNG V. NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER

H~ VNGL